#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### PCT

REC'D 1	3	MUU	2003
WIPO			PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicants or execute file referen						
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No. International fill PCT/EP2004/005497 19.05.2004			Priority date (day/month/year) 02.06.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or r A47J39/02	ational classification and	PC				
Applicant UNILEVER N.V. et al.						
and the second s	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total						
3. This report is also accompanied to						
a. $\square$ sent to the applicant and t	o the International Bure	au) a total of sheets, as fo	llows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
		ndicate type and number of omputer readable form only 2 of the Administrative Instr	electronic carrier(s)) , containing a , as indicated in the Supplemental uctions).			
4. This report contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems:				
Box No. I Basis of the opi	nion					
☐ Box No. II Priority						
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm						
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention	a to noveky, inventive step	and industrial applicability			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
☐ Box NoVII Certain defects	Box NoVII Certain defects in the international application					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this rep	ort			
19.08.2004		17.08.2005				
Name and mailing address of the International		Authorized Officer				
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Novelli, B Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2	2864			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005497

_	Box No. I Basis of the re	eport		
1.	1. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the <b>language</b> in filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ international search ☐ publication of the in	translations from the original language into the following language, of a translation furnished for the purposes of: (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ternational application (under Rule 12.4) nary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2. With regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement she have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Description, Pages			
	1-4	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-7	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence listing and/	or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		resulted in the cancellation of:		
•	<ul><li>☐ the description, page</li><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li></ul>			
	☐ the drawings, sheets☐ the sequence listing	s/figs		
	any table(s) related	(specify): to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	☐ This report has been es had not been made, since the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.)	stablished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ney have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the 2(c)).		
	☐ the description, page ☐ the claims, Nos.	9S		
	☐ the drawings, sheets	s/figs		
	☐ the sequence listing☐ any table(s) related	(specify): to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If item 4 applies,	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005497

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-7

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

- Document US-A-6188046 discloses (cf. col. 2, line 62 col. 3, line 21; figures) a kettle assembly comprising all the constructional features of independent claim 1 except the lid comprising a hinge. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that said assembly is considered suitable for soup, even if said purpose is not mentioned in the cited document.
- 2) However, a lid comprising a hinge has been employed for the same purpose, i.e. in order that the compartments can be opened separately, in document WO-A-00/49924. It would therefore be obvious to the person skilled in the art, to apply this feature with corresponding effect to the kettle assembly according to document US-A-6188046, thus arriving at a kettle assembly according to independent claim 1. The subject-matter of independent claim 1 does not involve therefore an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- Dependent claims 2-6 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step. In particular:
  - Claims 2, 4: see US-A-6188046;
  - Claim 5: see EP-A-63822, page 3, lines 11-13;
  - Claims 3, 6: obvious constructional details.
- 4) Taking into account the fact that the kettle assembly according to claims **1-6** is considered as not being inventive, the subject-matter of independent process claim **7** is considered as being obvious.